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## Independence and conditional independence: motivation

We begin with a classical example (Whittaker, 1990):

- We study the examination marks of 88 students in five subjects: mechanics, vectors, algebra, analysis, statistics (Mardia, Kent, and Bibby, 1979).
- Mechanics and vectors were closed books.
- All the remaining exams were open books.
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We begin with a classical example (Whittaker, 1990):

- We study the examination marks of 88 students in five subjects: mechanics, vectors, algebra, analysis, statistics (Mardia, Kent, and Bibby, 1979).
- Mechanics and vectors were closed books.
- All the remaining exams were open books.

We can examine the results using a stem and leaf plot.
algebra

| $0-$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10-$ |  |
| $20-$ | 1 |
| $30-$ | 1266677889 |
| $40-$ | 0113333345566667777888999999 |
| $50-$ | 000000111223333344455666778899 |
| $60-$ | 000111123455578 |
| $70-$ | 12 |
| $80-$ | 0 |
| $90-$ |  |

statistics

9
45778889
012455699
0011122333344556677799
00000001123444555556679
0113346
11233447888
033
1111

## Independence and conditional independence: motivation

We begin with a classical example (Whittaker, 1990):

- We study the examination marks of 88 students in five subjects: mechanics, vectors, algebra, analysis, statistics (Mardia, Kent, and Bibby, 1979).
- Mechanics and vectors were closed books.
- All the remaining exams were open books.

We can examine the results using a stem and leaf plot.

|  | algebra | statistics |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-$ |  | 9 |
| $10-$ |  | 45778889 |
| $20-$ | 1 | 012455699 |
| $30-$ | 1266677889 | 0011122333344556677799 |
| $40-$ | 0113333345566667777888999999 | 00000001123444555556679 |
| $50-$ | 000000111223333344455666778899 | 0113346 |
| $60-$ | 000111123455578 | 11233447888 |
| $70-$ | 12 | 033 |
| $80-$ | 0 | 1111 |
| $90-$ |  |  |

Note: Data appears to be normally distributed.

## Example (cont.)

We compute the correlation between the grades of the students:

| mech | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vect | 0.55 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| alg | 0.55 | 0.61 | 1.0 |  |  |
| anal | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.71 | 1.0 |  |
| stat | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.66 | 0.61 | 1.0 |
|  | mech | vect | alg | anal | stat |
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| mech | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vect | 0.55 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| alg | 0.55 | 0.61 | 1.0 |  |  |
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- We observe that the grades are positively correlated between subjects (performance of a student across subjects (good or bad) is consistent).
We now examine the inverse correlation matrix:

| mech | 1.60 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vect | -0.56 | 1.80 |  |  |  |
| alg | -0.51 | -0.66 | 3.04 |  |  |
| anal | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 5}$ | -1.11 | 2.18 |  |
| stat | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 4}$ | -0.86 | -0.52 | 1.92 |
|  | mech | vect | alg | anal | stat |
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| mech | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vect | -0.33 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
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The off-diagonal entries of the scaled inverse correlation matrix are the negative of the conditional correlation coefficients (i.e., the correlation coefficients after conditioning on the rest of the variables).
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Notation:

- We denote the fact that two random variables $X$ and $Y$ are independent by $X \Perp Y$.
- We write $X \Perp Y \mid\left\{Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right\}$ when $X$ and $Y$ are independent given $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}$.
- When the context is clear (i.e. when working with a fixed collection of random variables $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$, we write

$$
X_{i} \Perp X_{j} \mid \text { rest }
$$

instead of $X_{i} \Perp X_{j} \mid\left\{X_{k}: 1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq i, j\right\}$.
Important: In general, uncorrelated variables are not independent. This is true however for the multivariate Gaussian distribution.
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We noted before that our data appears to be Gaussian. Therefore it appears that:
(1) anal $\Perp$ mech | rest.
(2) anal $\Perp$ vect | rest.
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We represent these relations using a graph:


We put no edge between two variables iff they are conditionally independent (given the rest of the variables).
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## Independence and factorizations

Graphical models (a.k.a Markov random fields) are multivariate probability models whose independence structure is characterized by a graph.
Recall: Independence of random vectors is characterized by a factorization of their joint density:

- Independent variables: For two random vectors $X, Y$ :

$$
X \Perp Y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f_{X, Y}(x, y)=g(x) h(y) \quad \forall x, y
$$

for some functions $g, h$.

- Conditionally independent variables: Similarly, for three random vectors $X, Y, Z$ :

$$
X \Perp Y \mid Z \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f_{X, Y, Z}(x, y, z)=g(x, z) h(y, z)
$$

for all $x, y$ and all $z$ for which $f_{Z}(z)>0$.
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## Independence graphs

Let $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p}\right)$ be a random vector.

- The conditional independence graph of $X$ is the graph $G=(V, E)$ where $V=\{1, \ldots, p\}$ and

$$
(i, j) \notin E \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad X_{i} \Perp X_{j} \mid \text { rest. }
$$

- A subset $S \subset V$ is said to separate $A \subset V$ from $B \subset V$ if every path from $A$ to $B$ contains a vertex in $S$.
Notation: If $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p}\right)$ and $A \subset\{1, \ldots, p\}$, then $X_{A}:=\left(X_{i}: i \in A\right)$.
Theorem: (the separation theorem) Suppose the density of $X$ is positive and continuous. Let $V=A \cup S \cup B$ be a partition of $V$ such that $S$ separates $A$ from $B$. Then

$$
X_{A} \Perp X_{B} \mid X_{S}
$$
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Then

$$
\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right) \Perp\left(X_{7}, X_{8}, X_{9}\right) \mid\left(X_{5}, X_{6}\right) .
$$
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Let $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p}\right)$ be a random vector and let $G$ be a graph on $\{1, \ldots, p\}$. The vector is said to satisfy:
(1) The pairwise Markov property if $X_{i} \Perp X_{j} \mid$ rest whenever $(i, j) \notin E$.
(2) The local Markov property if for every vertex $i \in V$,

$$
X_{i} \Perp X_{V \backslash \mathrm{cl}(i)} \mid X_{\operatorname{ne}(i)},
$$

where ne $(i)=\{j \in V:(i, j) \in E, j \neq i\}$ and $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{i})=\{i\} \cup \mathrm{ne}(i)$.

- The global Markov property if for every disjoint subsets $A, S, B \subset V$ such that $S$ separates $A$ from $B$ in $G$, we have

$$
X_{A} \Perp X_{B} \mid X_{S} .
$$

- Clearly, global $\Rightarrow$ local $\Rightarrow$ pairwise.
- When $X$ has a positive and continuous density, by the separation theorem,

$$
\text { pairwise } \Rightarrow \text { global }
$$

and so all three properties are equivalent.

## Example: the local Markov property

Illustration of the local Markov property:
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- It is natural to try to characterize Markov properties via a factorization of the joint density.
- The Hammersley-Clifford theorem provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a random vector to have a Markov random field structure.

Theorem:(Hammersley-Clifford) Let $X$ be a random vector with a positive and continuous density $f$. Then $X$ satisfies the pairwise Markov property with respect to a graph $G$ if and only if

$$
f(x)=\prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \psi_{C}\left(x_{C}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of (maximal) cliques (complete subgraphs) of $G$.

